

FinTech for Social Good: A Research Agenda from NLP Perspective

Chung-Chi Chen,¹ Hiroya Takamura,¹ Hsin-Hsi Chen²

¹ AIST, Japan

² Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering
National Taiwan University, Taiwan

c.c.chen@acm.org, takamura.hiroya@aist.go.jp,
hhchen@ntu.edu.tw

Abstract

Making our research results positively impact on society and environment is one of the goals our community has been pursuing recently. Although financial technology (FinTech) is one of the popular application fields, we notice that there is no discussion on how NLP can help in FinTech for the social good. When mentioning FinTech for social good, people are talking about financial inclusion and green finance. However, the role of NLP in these directions only gets limited discussions. To fill this gap, this paper shares our idea of how we can use NLP in FinTech for social good. We hope readers can rethink the relationship between finance and NLP based on our sharing, and further join us in improving the financial literacy of individual investors and improving the supports for impact investment.

1 Introduction

Recently, our community is eager for the discussions on AI for social good¹ and NLP for social good.² Although we have several surveys and opinion pieces from different aspects (Hovy and Spruit, 2016; Leins et al., 2020; Jin et al., 2021), there is hardly any discussion on how NLP can help in FinTech for social good development. As the FinTech industry matures, we think that it is time to discuss the potential risk and what we can do to address these issues with the help of NLP.

When mentioning FinTech for social good, there are many discussions on how FinTech development can improve financial inclusion (Ozili, 2021). Financial inclusion is a notion to express that everyone can get basic financial services and have an opportunity to access financial products. With the development of mobile banking and wireless internet, more and more individuals in developing countries can access financial services such as cashless

payment, deposits, and insurance (Analytics, 2018). With the development of online identity authentication, people in developed countries can easily access financial products such as equity and options by opening an account within a few minutes. Both are important achievements in the FinTech industry.

However, we notice some attendant risks following the improvement of financial inclusion. Based on the statistics of the Taiwan Stock Exchange (TWSE), people in their twenties occupy over 80% of accounts opened. On the other hand, based on the statistics of the Taiwan Academy of Banking and Finance³, a government-supervised institution, approximately 40% of people in their twenties are financially illiterate. Even those who graduated from a university, only 5% of them are considered to have a good sense to finance. Although joining the financial market could make people become wealthy, it may also lead to bankrupting results. Given 80/20 Rule, i.e., 80% of individual investors will only get profit and loss balance outcome or deficit, which is supported in financial market (Xiao, 2015), can we do something to protect individual investors? One of the recent examples⁴ also indicates that making trading process becomes easy and game-like may lead to negative outcomes when the user does not have enough knowledge to understand the risk of investment and the results of these actions. Following this line of thought, we want to discuss how we can improve financial literacy with FinTech and NLP (FinNLP).

Taking the environment and society into consideration when making daily decisions is one of the tendencies for sustainable development. It is the same in financial decision-making. Instead of only considering return and risk, some people in the

¹<https://ijcai-22.org/calls-ai-for-good/>

²<https://2021.aclweb.org/calls/papers/>

³<https://www.tabf.org.tw/English/>

⁴<https://edition.cnn.com/2021/02/11/investing/robinhood-lawsuit-suicide-alex-kearns/index.html>

financial domain advocate adding social and environmental impact to the decision-making process. To be the bridge between the financial field and the FinNLP community, we also make discussions on how FinNLP can support the development of impact investment.

In the rest of this paper, we will first discuss current FinNLP research and the role of these studies in FinTech development in Section 2. In Section 3, we propose two directions, investor education and impact investment, for FinNLP for social good. Some challenges and possible solutions will be provided in Section 4. Finally, we conclude this paper in Section 5. Our intention is to propose some different directions, which got few discussions before, to inspire researchers to figure out some ways for FinNLP for social good together.

2 Current FinNLP Research

FinTech is an emerging term from 2015, and the development of FinNLP has three major directions: improving the working processes in financial institutions, predicting market information, and providing automatic financial services to customers (Chen et al., 2020). Improving the working processes can enlarge the capability of financial institutions to serve more people, and providing automatic financial services can improve the customers' experience. Both are the key forces in achieving financial inclusion.

From the aspect of improving working processes, one of the widely discussed topics is identity fraud detection because it is a crucial issue when people use services remotely. One ideal solution is to ask some new questions that could not be answered based on user-provided data. Wang et al. (2019) share the experimental results of this idea, and generate questions based on extended personal knowledge graphs to improve performance.

Forecasting market information is the most popular direction in FinNLP development. Stock movement (Xu and Cohen, 2018; Tang et al., 2021), volatility (Rekabsaz et al., 2017; Dereli and Saracilar, 2019; Qin and Yang, 2019; Chen et al., 2021b), sales (Lin et al., 2019), bubble (Sawhney et al., 2022), and several different kinds of market information are targets of market information forecasting tasks. In addition to constructing end-to-end models for prediction, there are some other discussions on how to fool NLP-based market prediction models (Xie et al., 2022) and the problems

of pre-trained language models in market information forecasting applications (Chuang and Yang, 2022).

Instead of giving predictions directly, leveraging NLP techniques to provide customers information for them to make the final decision is a human-centered approach. Some systems are proposed for this purpose. Liou et al. (2021) propose a system to help investors and journalists identify the related companies based on a given news article. Hassanzadeh et al. (2022) present a comprehensive system for news retrieval, event identification, causal analysis, and causal knowledge graph extraction. Users can make decisions based on the outputs of these systems.

3 FinNLP for Social Good

Thanks to the effort of researchers in the FinNLP community, models can better understand financial documents than before, and some application scenarios can be implemented for the customers of financial institutions. However, how to make social good based on our findings has been hardly discussed so far. In this section, we propose two directions: investor education and impact investment. Investor education is a way to improve financial literacy, which is one of the world-level projects proposed by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)⁵. Impact investment is a concept that adds one more dimension—impact on the environment and society—into consideration when making investment decisions. The goal of these two directions is to construct a sustainable financial environment. In this section, we provide the link between these topics and FinNLP.

3.1 Investor Education

Currently, investor education is provided passively. For example, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) provides many teaching materials for investors,⁶ and TWSE also makes many efforts to prepare materials for investor education⁷. We think that NLP provides an opportunity to change from passive to active. Instead of asking investors to take a look at the materials, we can score their investment ideas and provide feedback

⁵<https://www.oecd.org/finance/financial-education/>

⁶<https://www.sec.gov/education/investor-education>

⁷<https://investoredu.twse.com.tw/Pages/TWSE.aspx>

on their ideas. Thus, for investor education, we propose two directions: scoring investment reasons, and generating risk reminders.

Scoring opinions is not a new topic in our community. For example, scoring persuasive essays (Ghosh et al., 2016) and evaluating the helpfulness of product reviews (Ocampo Diaz and Ng, 2018) have been discussed for a long time. However, scoring investment opinions is still in the early stage. Ying and Duboue (2019) provide a pilot exploration on scoring investment reasons by annotating 2,622 rationales into four levels. Chen et al. (2021a) evaluate amateurs' opinions based on whether they share some characteristics with experts' opinions. These studies also point out two different goals of scoring investment opinions: (1) scoring rationality and (2) using profitability as the score.

Since there are too many uncertainty factors in the financial market, it is hard to say whether the reasonable analysis will lead to profitable outcomes. Even professionals' analysis may sometimes be inaccurate (Zong et al., 2020). Therefore, the goal of scoring investment reasons is different from that of making predictions. We aim to inform investors whether their reasons can support their decision based on financial theories. Additionally, we can let investors know to what extent it will lead to a profitable outcome based on the learned results with historical data.

However, only providing the score is not enough for investors to learn from models' output. Providing feedback is more useful than just letting users know the score. For example, grammatical error correction (Xie et al., 2018) is one of the common next steps after scoring essays. Providing feedback to the grammatical error (Nagata, 2019) is a more challenging task. In investor education, we think that providing risk reminders to the given investment reasons is more important than just providing scores. For example, when users input their reasons as the follows:⁸

Key takeaways from Quanta's 1Q22 analyst call included: 1) 1Q22 GMs dipped to an eight-quarter low due to inferior product mix and inefficient production; 2) weak 2Q22 earnings outlook with both PC demand weakness and supply

constraints; 3) 2Q22 NB shipment guidance at 20% qoq declines missed market expectations, implying likely m/s loss towards Hon Hai, in our view; 4) Server order book remains intact with double-digit growth target this year; 5) full-year capex at NT\$10bn with overseas expansion (Thailand, North America); and 6) the board approved a NT\$6.6 DPS, implying 8% yields at current stock prices. Quanta stock has moved largely in line with Taiex YTD, while we expect the earnings downgrade (first time since 2019) to weigh on the stock price in the next six months. We cut 2022/23 earnings by 15%/7% and lower our Dec-22 PT to NT\$77. Stay **Neutral**.

In addition to providing scores, we think that it will be more meaningful if models can generate the risk reminders to this neutral opinion as follows:

Key downside risks include margin erosion in servers and a potential slowdown of PC demand post COVID-19.

Key upside risks include 1) a longer-than-expected server upcycle; and 2) stronger-than-expected MacBook market share gains offset consumer PC weakness.

This kind of risk reminder is different from the outputs of end-to-end market movement prediction models. It provides some scenarios that may make the neutral claim become inaccurate. We think that it is an ideal way to provide feedback on financial opinions. In this way, investors can take the generated risk into consideration when making decisions. It will also help investors consider their investment reasons more comprehensively.

3.2 Impact Investment

Beyond considering return and risk in the investment, the impact on the environment and society has been widely discussed and suggested to add to the financial decision-making process (Emerson, 2012; Chiappini, 2017). More and more concrete ideas for assessment and auditing are proposed. Recently, one of the most popular guidelines is SASB Standards, proposed by Sustainability Accounting Standards Board.⁹ This standard sorts

⁸Both opinion and risk reminders parts are selected from J.P. Morgan Asia Pacific Equity Research's report on 13 May 2022.

⁹<https://www.sasb.org/>

out the non-monetary issues related to environmental, social, and governance (ESG) that may influence the financial performance of companies. It is intuitive that NLP can help in capturing ESG-related terms (Kang et al., 2021) or tracking semantic change in companies' reports (Purver et al., 2022). However, can FinNLP help more on impact investment? We propose two directions for this question: non-monetary opportunity/risk identification and scenario planning.

As we shown in Section 2, many studies pay attention to monetary return or volatility prediction. It can be based on news articles, social media, professional reports, meeting between investors and company managers, and so on. However, limited studies try to identify the opportunity and risk from non-monetary aspects, i.e., ESG aspect. Since the impact period of ESG-related events may be longer than that of traditional monetary events, such as the increase of earning, decrease of sales, etc, we think that one of the important directions is to identify whether the given event is the opportunity or risk to ESG and how it will influence companies' sustainable operation. As shown in Li et al. (2022), simple statistical methods perform better than neural network models in multivariate long sequence time-series forecasting. That raises an open issue: whether their claim holds true when we attempt to evaluate long-term non-monetary impacts? Since many market information forecasting studies mainly pay attention to short-term prediction (e.g., next day, 3-day, and 1 week), we want to encourage more studies to pay attention to long-term value assessment.

In addition to identifying the opportunity and risk, we think that scenario planning (analysis) is one of the key directions that FinNLP can help in impact investment. The goal of scenario planning is to understand the possible impact of the event better rather than to predict the future. For example, in addition to identifying whether it is a risk to the agricultural industry when a piece of news related to "climate anomalies" is given, we expect models to generate some plausible scenarios such as "it would lead to lack of water", and this scenario can be extended to "it may influence the agricultural industry".

Besides the most possible scenario, the worst-case scenario should also be generated for discussion. That is, when performing scenario planning, experts first generate many scenarios regardless of

the probability of occurrence. And then, experts will select a few key scenarios for discussions and try to figure out some solutions to these key scenarios. Because scenario planning plays an important role in both monetary and non-monetary based financial decision, we think that it is a good direction to explore for providing better help to both individual and industrial investors.

4 Challenges and Opportunities

Because we just start in the proposed directions, there are many open research questions and opportunities. In this section, we highlight three specific topics.

4.1 Evaluation

One of the conveniences of market information forecasting tasks is that we can use market information as ground truth. It is open access and can be quickly obtained. However, when exploring the proposed risk reminder and scenario planning tasks, we should not just focus on the final outcome in the market. For example, the key downside/upside risks shown in Section 3.1 may not happen finally, but these reminders are important for leading investors to have careful consideration before making decisions. In other words, previous studies are precision-focused studies, but the proposed directions aim to highlight the importance of covering as more as crucial factors as possible. Human evaluation is an intuitive direction. However, how to automatically evaluate the generated scenarios is still an open issue.

4.2 Implementation

It is hard to imagine that an analyst says, "I have read a lot of news from 2008 to 2010, analysis reports from 2003 to 2012, cooperate filing from 1994 to 2019, and earnings call transcripts from 2004 to 2019. Based on these experiences and yesterday's Made by Google product launch, I think Pixel Watch would be the hottest product in 2022." However, we are now using pretrained FinBERTs (Araci, 2019; Huang et al., 2022) in this way. There is no doubt that some common senses and word meanings can be learned via pretrained processes. However, the financial market changes all the time, and there is a bulk of information daily. How to add the latest knowledge and news into models becomes a challenge when we want to score investment reasons and generate

risk reminders. One of the possible ways is using the knowledge in textual book and formal material to construct knowledge graph (KG) as the base of background knowledge. And then, we can further link this KG with the temporal-aware KG (Goel et al., 2020), which tracks the change of latest information.

4.3 Utilization

Currently, the FinTech industry provides more convenient interfaces to investors, and also makes financial information become transparent. Many trading bots have been constructed to displace individual investors when making trading decisions. In this paper, we propose a human-centered AI concept with FinNLP, which aims to empower human abilities instead of replacing human. We think that the proposed directions for investor education are the next step for improving the investment environment of individual investors. There are many open research questions when exploring the proposed directions. To what extent state-of-the-art market information prediction models can help in scoring investment reasons? What is the difference between general storytelling tasks and financial scenario generation? We also think that investor education and current FinNLP studies are reciprocal. For example, scoring rationales can help us filter out low-quality opinions for opinion-based market information prediction models. It would be helpful in improving the performance of existing tasks.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we point out the risk behind the recent improvement in financial inclusion, and share a research agenda from FinNLP for social good aspect. We hope this paper can lead readers to rethink the role of NLP in the financial field. Different from recent FinNLP studies, which focus on (1) extracting cooperation reports, analyst reports, news articles, and so on, and (2) using the extracted information for constructing economics/financial indicators or end-to-end market information prediction models, we propose two directions that could help improve financial literacy and augment investors' ability in making impact-oriented financial decisions. We are not saying the proposed directions are completely new, but we want to guide our community to consider to what extent recent findings can be applied to these directions and what we can do to achieve these goals. Our intent is that this paper can set

an example for others to follow, and future works can further propose more ideas and solutions for FinNLP for social good.

References

- Deep Knowledge Analytics. 2018. Financial inclusion developing world landscape overview. *Recuperado de <http://analytics.dkv.global/data/pdf/Financial-Inclusion-Developing-World.pdf>*.
- Dogu Araci. 2019. Finbert: Financial sentiment analysis with pre-trained language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10063*.
- Chung-Chi Chen, Hen-Hsen Huang, and Hsin-Hsi Chen. 2020. NLP in FinTech Applications: Past, Present and Future. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.01320*.
- Chung-Chi Chen, Hen-Hsen Huang, and Hsin-Hsi Chen. 2021a. Evaluating the rationales of amateur investors. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*, pages 3987–3998.
- Chung-Chi Chen, Hen-Hsen Huang, Yu-Lieh Huang, and Hsin-Hsi Chen. 2021b. [Distilling numeral information for volatility forecasting](#). In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information Knowledge Management*, page 2920–2924, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Helen Chiappini. 2017. *Social impact funds: Definition, assessment and performance*. Springer.
- Chengyu Chuang and Yi Yang. 2022. [Buy tesla, sell ford: Assessing implicit stock market preference in pre-trained language models](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 100–105, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Neşat Dereli and Murat Saraclar. 2019. Convolutional neural networks for financial text regression. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop*, pages 331–337, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jed Emerson. 2012. Risk, return and impact: Understanding diversification and performance within an impact investing portfolio. *Impact Assets*, 2:1–15.
- Debanjan Ghosh, Aquila Khanam, Yubo Han, and Smaranda Muresan. 2016. [Coarse-grained argumentation features for scoring persuasive essays](#). In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 549–554, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rishab Goel, Seyed Mehran Kazemi, Marcus Brubaker, and Pascal Poupart. 2020. Diachronic embedding for

- temporal knowledge graph completion. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 3988–3995.
- Oktie Hassanzadeh, Parul Awasthy, Ken Barker, Onkar Bhardwaj, Debarun Bhattacharjya, Mark Feblowitz, Lee Martie, Jian Ni, Kavitha Srinivas, and Lucy Yip. 2022. Knowledge-based news event analysis & forecasting toolkit. In *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Dirk Hovy and Shannon L Spruit. 2016. The social impact of natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 591–598.
- Allen H Huang, Hui Wang, and Yi Yang. 2022. Finbert: A large language model for extracting information from financial text. *Contemporary Accounting Research*.
- Zhijing Jin, Geeticka Chauhan, Brian Tse, Mrinmaya Sachan, and Rada Mihalcea. 2021. How good is nlp? a sober look at nlp tasks through the lens of social impact. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 3099–3113.
- Juyeon Kang, Ismail El Maarouf, Sandra Bellato, and Mei Gan. 2021. FinSim-3: The 3rd shared task on learning semantic similarities for the financial domain. In *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Financial Technology and Natural Language Processing*, pages 31–35, Online. -.
- Kobi Leins, Jey Han Lau, and Timothy Baldwin. 2020. Give me convenience and give her death: Who should decide what uses of nlp are appropriate, and on what basis? In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2908–2913.
- Hao Li, Jie Shao, Kewen Liao, and Mingjian Tang. 2022. Do simpler statistical methods perform better in multivariate long sequence time-series forecasting? In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information Knowledge Management, CIKM '22*, page 4168–4172, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Zhaojiang Lin, Andrea Madotto, Genta Indra Winata, Zihan Liu, Yan Xu, Cong Gao, and Pascale Fung. 2019. Learning to learn sales prediction with social media sentiment. In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Financial Technology and Natural Language Processing*, pages 47–53, Macao, China.
- Yi-Ting Liou, Chung-Chi Chen, Tsun-Hsien Tang, Hen-Hsen Huang, and Hsin-Hsi Chen. 2021. Finsense: an assistant system for financial journalists and investors. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 882–885.
- Ryo Nagata. 2019. Toward a task of feedback comment generation for writing learning. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3206–3215, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Gerardo Ocampo Diaz and Vincent Ng. 2018. Modeling and prediction of online product review helpfulness: A survey. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 698–708, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Peterson K Ozili. 2021. Financial inclusion research around the world: A review. In *Forum for social economics*, volume 50, pages 457–479. Taylor & Francis.
- Matthew Purver, Matej Martinc, Riste Ichev, Igor Lončarski, Katarina Sitar Šuštar, Aljoša Valentinčič, and Senja Pollak. 2022. Tracking changes in ESG representation: Initial investigations in UK annual reports. In *Proceedings of the First Computing Social Responsibility Workshop within the 13th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 9–14, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Yu Qin and Yi Yang. 2019. What you say and how you say it matters: Predicting stock volatility using verbal and vocal cues. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 390–401, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Navid Rekabsaz, Mihai Lupu, Artem Baklanov, Alexander Dür, Linda Andersson, and Allan Hanbury. 2017. Volatility prediction using financial disclosures sentiments with word embedding-based IR models. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1712–1721, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ramit Sawhney, Shivam Agarwal, Vivek Mittal, Paolo Rosso, Vikram Nanda, and Sudheer Chava. 2022. Cryptocurrency bubble detection: A new stock market dataset, financial task & hyperbolic models. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 5531–5545, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tsun-Hsien Tang, Chung-Chi Chen, Hen-Hsen Huang, and Hsin-Hsi Chen. 2021. Retrieving implicit information for stock movement prediction. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 2010–2014.
- Weikang Wang, Jiajun Zhang, Qian Li, Chengqing Zong, and Zhifei Li. 2019. Are you for real? detecting identity fraud via dialogue interactions. In

Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 1762–1771.

Wei Xiao. 2015. Does practice make perfect? evidence from individual investors' experiences and investment returns. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, 18(6):811–825.

Yong Xie, Dakuo Wang, Pin-Yu Chen, Jinjun Xiong, Sijia Liu, and Oluwasanmi Koyejo. 2022. [A word is worth a thousand dollars: Adversarial attack on tweets fools stock prediction](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 587–599, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ziang Xie, Guillaume Genthial, Stanley Xie, Andrew Ng, and Dan Jurafsky. 2018. [Noising and denoising natural language: Diverse backtranslation for grammar correction](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 619–628, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Yumo Xu and Shay B. Cohen. 2018. [Stock movement prediction from tweets and historical prices](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1970–1979, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Annie Ying and Pablo Duboue. 2019. [Rationale classification for educational trading platforms](#). In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Financial Technology and Natural Language Processing*, pages 14–20, Macao, China.

Shi Zong, Alan Ritter, and Eduard Hovy. 2020. [Measuring forecasting skill from text](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 5317–5331, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.